

EAST CLARENDON HIGH

1171 Pope Street
Turbeville, SC 29162

GRADES 6-12 Middle School

ENROLLMENT 374 Students

PRINCIPAL L. Dwayne Howell 843-659-2187

SUPERINTENDENT Mary Rice-Crenshaw 843-659-2188

BOARD CHAIR Dr. George Green 843-659-2137

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

2004

ABSOLUTE RATING:

BELOW AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Unsatisfactory
0	16	22	8	0

IMPROVEMENT RATING:

BELOW AVERAGE

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS:

YES

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:

WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Below Average	Below Average	Yes


DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS





- Excellent - District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good - District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average - District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average - District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory - District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

N/A

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)**Our School****Middle Schools with Students like Ours****Definition of Critical Terms**

	Advanced	Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations
	Proficient	Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations
	Basic	Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level
	Below Basic	Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP

	Enrollment 1 st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced (adj.)	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 17.6%									
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Gender									
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
African-American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%									
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Gender									
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		<i>Enrollment 1st Day of Testing</i>	<i>% Tested</i>	<i>% Below Basic</i>	<i>% Basic</i>	<i>% Proficient</i>	<i>% Advanced</i>	<i>% Proficient and Advanced</i>
English/Language Arts								
2003	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2004	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Mathematics								
2003	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2004	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable **N/AV** Not Available **N/C** Not Collected **N/R** Not Reported **I/S** Insufficient Sample

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 374)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	N/R	N/R	12.6%	14.6%
Retention rate	0.0%	N/A	3.1%	3.0%
Attendance rate	98.0%	N/A	95.9%	95.9%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	N/A		5.5%	5.7%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	N/A		5.4%	5.3%
Eligible for gifted and talented	3.2%	N/A	16.9%	14.3%
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	12.3%	N/A	14.7%	13.9%
Older than usual for grade	3.7%	N/A	3.8%	4.2%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.8%	N/R	0.8%	0.9%
Annual dropout rate	N/A	N/A	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 38)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	42.1%	N/A	48.3%	48.7%
Continuing contract teachers	13.2%	N/A	86.4%	81.7%
Highly qualified teachers**	86.2%	N/A	90.9%	90.4%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	8.3%		4.0%	5.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	84.6%	N/A	87.8%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate	94.7%	N/R	94.8%	94.8%
Average teacher salary	\$38,892	I/S	\$40,751	\$40,566
Prof. development days/teacher	11.2 days	N/R	10.9 days	11.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	15.0	N/R	3.5	3.3
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	26.8 to 1	N/R	22.8 to 1	21.3 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.9%	N/R	89.5%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,895	N/A	\$5,636	\$5,821
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	46.7%	N/A	61.8%	61.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	N/R	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	98.9%	N/R	95.9%	95.0%
SACS accreditation	No	N/R	Yes	Yes
Character development program	Excellent	N/A	Good	Good
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.				
	Our District		State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools**	N/A		92.0%	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools**	N/A		91.1%	
	State Objective		Met State Objective	
Highly qualified teachers in this school**	65.0%		Yes	
Student attendance in this school	95.3%		Yes	

**NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Continuing budget cuts have caused drastic changes in both the high school and middle school teaching faculty. The high school has left the 4X4 block to return to a traditional instructional day which has added more responsibility to students on a daily basis. After checking grades and making comparisons to last year's grades, the failure rate seems to have increased in the high school. We have evaluated this situation and have decided to return to the 4X4 block this upcoming school year. Special emphasis was given to special populations during the 2003-2004 School Year with the addition of the Occupational Diploma Program. We do not have our test scores back at this time, but we are hoping to see an increase in the success rate of the number of special population students. The middle school has moved from a traditional 7-8 period day schedule to a 5-period schedule with extended time being given in the areas of math, science, language arts, and social studies. Teachers now have more time to devote to the basic core subject matter in hopes that test scores will increase. Teachers have been given more responsibility in the placement of students and are more sensitive to the needs of the students. Since January, both schools have been involved in using the Stuart Flanagan Model of Testing For High Standards.

L. Dwayne Howell, Principal
Karen Creech, School Improvement Council Chairman

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	44	89	32
Percent satisfied with learning environment	88.6%	72.7%	65.6%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	90.9%	76.4%	78.1%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	65.9%	84.1%	54.8%

*Only students at the highest middle school grade level at this school and their parents were included.